Interview Summary	Application No. 09/696,376	Applicant(s)	olicant(s) Miller	
	Examiner Paul W. Huber		Art Unit 2653	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			<u> </u>
(1) Paul W. Huber	(3)			
(2) Richard Peterson (Reg. #26,495)	(4)			
Date of Interview May 21, 2002	<del>-</del>			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) 🛛 applicant's rep	presentative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) X Yes  The exhibits/declarations filed March 19, 2002	e)□ No. If yes, br	•		
Claim(s) discussed: 1				
Identification of prior art discussed:  the publication of K. Cochrane				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached	. g)□ was not rea	ched. h)X	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	I nature of what was	agreed to it	f an agreement w	as reached, or
The Applicant's reprentative and Examiner reviewed the fit The Examiner informed the Applicant's representative that	further review and c	onsideration	n was required be	efore a
decision of allowability could be made.				
		·		<del> </del>
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the sub	stance of t	he interview (if b	ox is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROSUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	PEP section 713.04). OM THIS INTERVIEW	If a reply to DATE TO I	the last Office a	action has NT OF THE
			DAIN W LINES	

PAUL W. HUBER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required